Supplementary Materials

Collaborative Innovation Across Knowledge Systems: A New Shift in Integrating Indigenous Knowledge and Scientific Climate Adaptation Tools—A Systematic Review and Policy Analysis

Yinan Gao [1],*, Kenichiro Hiramoto [1], Fang Lian [1], Youyue Tian [1], Seira Mary Cherian [1], Takuma Ozaki [1], Nidhi Prathap [2] and Rajib Shaw [1]

[1] Graduate School of Media and Governance, Keio University, 5322 Endo, Fujisawa-shi, Kanagawa 252-0882, Japan
[2] Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore, Madhya Pradesh 453552, India
* Correspondence: sxyxgyn@keio.jp

Table S1. Complete Search Strategy.

Element	Details
Database	Scopus
Search Date	[4 May 2025]
Search Fields	TITLE-ABS-KEY (Title, Abstract, Keywords)
Search String	TITLE-ABS-KEY (("indigenous knowledge" OR "traditional knowledge" OR "traditional ecological knowledge" OR "local knowledge") AND ("scientific knowledge" OR "western science" OR "academic knowledge") AND (integrat* OR bridg* OR combin* OR collaborat* OR "co-produc*" OR "knowledge system*") AND ("climate change" OR "climate adaptation" OR "climate resilience" OR "adaptation tool*"))
Time Limit	Time Limit PUBYEAR > 1984 (Publications from 1985 onwards)
Language	LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")
Document Types	LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "re") (Articles and Reviews only)
Subject Areas	LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "ENVI")—Environmental Science LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "SOCI")—Social Sciences LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "AGRI")—Agricultural Sciences LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "EART")—Earth Sciences
Initial Results	$n = 6324$ records

Table S2. Additional Sources.

Source Type	Sources Searched	Records Identified
Organizational Websites	UNFCCC, IPCC, World Bank Climate Portal, UNDP Adaptation Learning Mechanism	$n = 30$
Citation Searching	Forward and backward citation tracking of key included studies	$n = 0$ (new)
Total from Other Methods	-	$n = 30$

Note: Scopus was selected as the primary database due to its comprehensive coverage of interdisciplinary research spanning environmental science, social sciences, and policy studies. The search strategy employed Boolean operators to capture the intersection of indigenous knowledge systems and scientific approaches in climate adaptation contexts.

Table S3. Checklist.

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist Item	Location Where Item Is Reported
		TITLE	
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Title page
		ABSTRACT	
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	p. 1
		INTRODUCTION	
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	pp. 1–2
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	pp. 2–3
		METHODS	
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	pp. 4–5
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	pp. 4–5
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Supplementary Table S1
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Section 2, p. 5
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Section 2, p. 6
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g., for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Section 2, p. 6
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g., participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Section 2, p. 6
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Section 2, p. 6
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g., risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	N/A
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g., tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	Section 2, p. 6
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Section 2, p. 6
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Section 2, p. 6 (VOSviewer)
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Section 2, p. 6 (Thomas & Harden thematic synthesis)
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g., subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	N/A
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	N/A
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Section 2, p. 6/Section 6.3 Limitations
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	N/A

Table S3. *Cont.*

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist Item	Location Where Item Is Reported
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Section 2, p. 6; Figure 1
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	Figure 1; exclusion reasons summarized
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Sections 2.2–2.5, pp. 7–9
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Section 2.1.2, p. 6; Supplementary Material
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g., confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	N/A
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Sections 2.2–2.5, pp. 7–9
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g., confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	N/A
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Section 2.2, Section 2.4, pp. 7–9
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Section 3.2, p. 14
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Section 2.1.3, p. 6
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	N/A
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Section 6.1, p. 29
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Section 6.3, p. 32
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Section 6.3, p. 32
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Section 6.2, p. 31 Section 6.3, p. 32 Section 6.4, p. 33
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Section 4.1, p. 19; OSF (embargoed)
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Section 4.1, p. 19 (URL: https://osf.io/n6yaf);
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Section 4.1, p. 19; Supplementary Material
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Acknowledgments, p. 36
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Conflict of Interest Statement, p. 36
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Data Availability Statement, p. 36