

Editorial

Acknowledgment to Reviewers of *Plant Ecophysiology* in 2025

Plant Ecophysiology Editorial Office

Scilight Press, 447 Collins Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia

How To Cite: Plant Ecophysiology Editorial Office. (2025). Acknowledgment to Reviewers of *Plant Ecophysiology* in 2025. *Plant Ecophysiology*, 1(3), 2.
<https://doi.org/10.53941/plantecophys.2025.100014>

Rigorous peer-reviews are the basis of high-quality academic publishing. Reviewers for *Plant Ecophysiology* are expected to follow the ten criteria outlined below:

1. **Comprehensiveness:** Does the review address all the main sections of the manuscript (introduction, methods, results, discussion)?
2. **Constructiveness:** Are the criticisms constructive, with clear suggestions for improvement or a focus on the issue that arouses the concern?
3. **Clarity:** Are the reasons for the manuscript's acceptance or rejection clearly explained, or can they be easily extracted from the reviewer's documents?
4. **Scientific Rigor:** Does the review critically assess the manuscript with reference to scientific principles?
5. **Relevance:** Are all comments relevant to the manuscript's content and scientific field?
6. **Respectfulness:** Are the comments respectful and professional, focusing solely on the manuscript's content?
7. **Accuracy:** Does the reviewer clearly identify where the critical scientific error, major comments, and minor comments are directed within the manuscript?
8. **Completeness:** Is the review thorough, giving enough information to the authors and editor to understand the reasoning of their general or particular comments?
9. **Ethics:** Does the review adhere to confidentiality, objectivity, and the avoidance of conflicts of interest?
10. **Usefulness:** Did the authors find the reviewer's comments useful for improving the manuscript?

Thanks to the great efforts of our reviewers, *Plant Ecophysiology* was able to maintain its standards for the high

quality of its published papers. The editors would like to extend their gratitude and recognition to the following reviewers for their precious time and dedication, regardless of whether the papers they reviewed were finally published:

Alec Baird
 Alicia Perera-Castro
 Ana Herrera
 Antonio Diaz-Espejo
 Celia Rodriguez-Dominguez
 Cengiz Kaya
 Enrique Ostria-Gallardo
 Florian Busch
 Francisco Javier Cano-Martin
 Gilbert Neuner
 Hans De Boeck
 Helen Holmlund
 Javier Gulias
 John C Cushman
 Jorge Gago
 José M. Escalona
 Josefina Bota
 Krzysztof Herman
 Liang Fang
 Lohengrin Cavieres
 Marc Carriqui
 Tiina Tosens
 Victória Carvalho
 Xinguang Zhu
 Yunbin Zhang